Case 2:05-cv-01348-RSM	Document 80	Filed 06/28/07	Page 1 of 2

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 PAGE – 1

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

BYRON BATES,

Plaintiff,

v.

KING COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C05-1348RSM

ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE AS UNTIMELY

This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's Motions In Limine. (Dkt. #62), and defendants' related motion to strike. (Dkt. #63). Having reviewed plaintiff's motion, defendants' opposition, defendants' motion to strike, and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby ORDERS:

- (1) Defendants' Motion to Strike (Dkt. #63) is STRICKEN as improper. Local Rule CR 7(g) specifically states that such a motion "shall not be presented in a separate motion to strike, but shall instead be included in the response brief, and will be considered with the underlying motion."
- (2) The Court *sua sponte* STRIKES plaintiff's Motions In Limine (Dkt. #62) as untimely. This Court's Scheduling Order set forth the deadline for filing motions in limine as May 11, 2007. (*See* Dkt. #14). Plaintiff did not file his motions in limine until May 15, 2007, nor did he

Case 2:05-cv-01348-RSM Document 80 Filed 06/28/07 Page 2 of 2

request leave for an extension of time to file those motions. Accordingly, the Court will not consider plaintiff's motions in limine. However, nothing in this Order prevents plaintiff from objecting to the introduction of any evidence, if appropriate, during trial. (3) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record. DATED this 28th day of June, 2007. RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER

PAGE - 2